

A meeting of the Meridian City Council was called to order at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, June 14, 2016, by Mayor Tammy de Weerd.

Members Present: Mayor Tammy de Weerd, Keith Bird, Ty Palmer, Anne Little Roberts and Luke Cavener (Telephone).

Members Absent: Joe Borton and Genesis Milam.

Others Present: Bill Nary, Jacy Jones, Bruce Chatterton, Warren Stewart, Jeff Lavey, Perry Palmer, and Dean Willis.

Item 1: Roll-call Attendance:

Roll call.

<u> X </u> Anne Little Roberts	<u> </u> Joe Borton
<u> X </u> Ty Palmer	<u> X </u> Keith Bird
<u> </u> Genesis Milam	<u> X </u> Lucas Cavener
<u> X </u> Mayor Tammy de Weerd	

De Weerd: Okay. I would like to welcome all of you to our City Council meeting. For the record it is Tuesday, June 14th. We will start with roll call attendance, Madam Clerk.

Item 2: Pledge of Allegiance

De Weerd: Thank you. And Councilman Cavener is joining us via the telephone, so if you don't see him up here, he really is present. Item No. 2 is the Pledge of Allegiance. If you will all rise and join us in the pledge to our flag.

(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

Item 3: Adoption of the Agenda

De Weerd: Item No. 3 is adoption of the agenda.

Bird: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Bird.

Bird: On Item 7-A this will be sent up to Item 6-A-1 and the proposed budget on Item 7-B -- or ordinance is 16-1696. With that I move we approve the amended agenda.

Little Roberts: Second.

De Weerd: I have a motion and a second to approve the agenda as amended. All those in favor say aye. All ayes? All ayes. Motion carried.

MOTION CARRIED: FOUR AYES. TWO ABSENT.

Item 4: Consent Agenda

- A. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law for Granton Square Subdivision No. 2 (H-2016-0034) by Granton Square Properties, LLC Located 1714 E. Challis Street**
- B. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law for Howry Lane Subdivision (H-2016-0030) by M3 Acquisition, LLC Located 5220 S. Howry Lane**
- C. Final Order for Approval for Falconer's Place Subdivision (H-2016-0049) by Summit Equity, LLC Located East Side of Eagle Road and South of Victory Road**
- D. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law for Fairview Lakes (H-2016-0044) by Grace at Fairview Lakes Located North Side of E. Fairview Avenue, Midway Between N. Meridian Road and N. Locust Grove Road**
- E. Development Agreement for Whiteacre Subdivision (H-2016-0019) by Providence Properties, LLC Located at the SWC of N. Meridian Rd and West McMillan Rd.**
- F. First Addendum to Purchase Agreement for Fabrication and Installation of Vinyl Traffic Box Wraps**
- G. Professional Service Agreement for Artwork for Traffic Box Community Art Project - Deb Pence**
- H. Professional Service Agreement for Artwork for Traffic Box Community Art Project - Dwight Williams**
- I. Professional Service Agreement for Artwork for Traffic Box Community Art Project - Barbara Williams**
- J. Professional Service Agreement for Artwork for Traffic Box Community Art Project - Morgan McCullough c/o Kelly McCullough**

- K. Professional Service Agreement for Artwork for Traffic Box Community Art Project - Roza German c/o Yelena German**

- L. Water Main Easement between the City of Meridian and Creekstone Meridian, LLC within Creekstone Subdivision**

De Weerd: Thank you. Item 4 is our Consent Agenda.

Bird: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Bird.

Bird: I move we approve the Consent Agenda as published and for the Mayor to sign and the Clerk to attest.

Little Roberts: Second.

De Weerd: I have a motion and a second to approve the Consent Agenda. Madam Clerk, will you, please, call roll.

Roll Call: Bird, yea; Borton, absent; Milam, absent; Cavener, yea; Palmer, yea; Little Roberts, yea.

De Weerd: All ayes. Motion carried.

MOTION CARRIED: FOUR AYES. TWO ABSENT.

Item 5: Items Moved From the Consent Agenda

De Weerd: There were no items moved from the Consent Agenda.

Item 6: Action Items

- 1-A. Second Reading of Ordinance No. 16-1695: An Ordinance Of The City Council Of The City Of Meridian, Approving The Urban Renewal Plan For The Ten Mile Road Urban Renewal Project, Which Plan Includes Revenue Allocation Financing Provisions; Authorizing The City Clerk To Transmit A Copy Of This Ordinance And Other Required Information To The County And State Officials; And Providing An Effective Date.**

De Weerd: So, we will move to Item 6-1-A and that's the second reading of Ordinance 16-1695.

Jones: Luke?

Cavener: Yes.

Jones: Do you have the ability to mute your phone when you are not speaking?
We are picking up lot of background noise.

Cavener: I can do that.

Jones: Sorry.

Cavener: Not a problem. Thanks. I will do it right now.

Jones: Thank you.

De Weerd: Thank you.

Jones: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Ordinance No. 16-1695, an ordinance of the City Council of the City of Meridian approving the urban renewal plan for the Ten Mile Road Urban Renewal Project, which plan includes revenue allocation financing provisions, authorizing the City Clerk to transmit a copy of this ordinance and other required information to the county that affect the taxing entities and state officials, approving the summary of the ordinance and providing an effect date.

De Weerd: Thank you. You have heard the second reading. We will have the third reading next week.

2-A. Public hearing to consider the Urban Renewal Plan for the Ten Mile Road Urban Renewal Project of the Meridian Development Corporation

De Weerd: Our next item is 6-A-2, a public hearing to consider the urban renewal plan for the Ten Mile Urban Renewal District and I'll turn this over to Bruce. I was going to send -- or turn it over the Warren, but --

Chatterton: Madam Mayor, Council Members, I think Warren is chomping at the bit to --

De Weerd: I could tell that.

Chatterton: Every time we use the word infrastructure, which will be quite a bit during this presentation, his ears will perk up as the city engineer. Very happy to be here. I'm not -- this is going to be the briefest of introductions and Phil has promised to keep his presentation very brief as well. We know that Council Member Cavener is on the phone and has significant hard stops, so we will be

respectful of that. We want to have primarily the time that's available to us to chew up discussion, question and answers. We also have several of the affected property owners in the room, who also may wish to participate in this public hearing. Before I let Phil take you through this briefly, I don't want to go through the history, but I think just underscoring what to me, in my profession, my roll here with the city is a very important thing. We have a Community Development Department, but ninety percent of what we do is reactive to the things that come our way. It's regulatory. It's the application process. This effort, a new urban renewal district for the city, is an opportunity to be proactive. It's an opportunity to create of fabric, a district, if you will, of the city, not just an urban renewal district, which advances a lot of things that we have been working for years, at least since 2007 for this area of the city. And it's an opportunity to -- to have one or more employment centers with all that entails in terms of family wage jobs, spin off development -- we could talk a lot about that. That's my personal and professional interest in this. Very, very excited to be at this -- at this watershed development here, for this opportunity. Do we want to take the opportunity is really the question before you all. So, I'm going to let Phil Kushlan do a brief presentation and, then, we will move on with the public hearing.

De Weerd: Thank you, Bruce. Welcome, Phil. If you will, please, state your name and address for the record.

Kushlan: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Phil Kushlan and the address is Post Office 8463, Boise. 83707. Your Honor and Council Members, as Bruce indicated, I will be brief, understanding the time constraints that you have. But we will walk you through briefly where we have been and kind of where we are and, then, be happy to turn this over -- the proceedings for your question or comments from the audience. We are going to talk a little bit about the history, the process we have been through and, then, where we are now. As Bruce indicated, early on the agency was created, the urban renewal agency back in 2002 by the city. Another issue that led to our conversations was the establishment of the Ten Mile Interchange Specific Area Plan that was adopted by the city in '07 and, then, in 2015 the city, in collaboration with the MDC, initiated the process to consider an urban renewal district for the area. You know, why are we doing this? I think one of the issues that is important is looking at this area as an opportunity to create family wage jobs that may be a unique opportunity in the community that the city chose for themselves when they adopted the specific area plan. It requires probably a higher level of development and other similar situated areas. It integrates a variety, a vibrant mix of commercial and residential uses and basically it provides for above average level of community amenities. Implementation of the -- of this goal, the level of development requires incentives from private investment. The only mechanism that exists in the state of Idaho to provide these incentives is through the Urban Renewal Law and the Economic Development Act. Chapter 29, Title 50 of Idaho Code specifically provides that these tools can be used for economic development. Often we think about urban renewal as being the classic slum and

blight, but in 1988 when the revenue allocation statute was brought in it was specifically concentrating -- in fact, it is named the Economic Development Act. The process we have gone through, you have created the eligibility report, which was the first step that was approved by the MDC board in November. City Council considered that in February and in May the -- a formal plan was brought back and that was approved by MDC. You received it and sent it to P&Z. They looked at it and had a finding that it was, in fact, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Was sent to the affected taxing entities as required by statute for their comment and, again, where we are is that through this process we have gone through, we have determined that the urban renewal area as proposed meets the eligibility requirements in the statute, that the assessed value of the district combined with the base value of the downtown district is less than ten percent of the total valuation of the city, which is a maximum amount in law. I think you like get three percent, so you have got a long way between where you are and the maximum. The plan meets the requirements of state law. The plan is economically feasible as we have looked at the -- the economic aspects and the plan is consistent with the city's Comprehensive Plan. The agricultural property owners have consented to be included in the district. Those have all been received. Public notices have been published as required. The plan has been submitted to the taxing and implementation does require the revenue allocation aspect of the law as -- as provided in the ordinance. So, the purpose of this meeting is to conduct a hearing and receive input from the public. Consider those comments and other information that you have or need for decision making and, then, upon closing of the hearing the matter is before you at your discretion and you can act either now or at some other time you feel appropriate. So, with that I hope that wasn't too quick to summarize 120 pages, but be happy to answer the questions that you may have or after you have some comments from the public, respond at that time as well. So, I'm at your disposal.

De Weerd: Thank you, Phil. Council, any questions at this time?

Bird: I have none.

De Weerd: Okay. Thank you.

Kushlan: Okay. Thank you.

De Weerd: This is a public hearing. I did have one person sign up on the sign-up sheet. Ryan Armbruster signed up for.

Armbruster: Thank you, Mayor and Council. For the record, my name is Ryan Armbruster, I'm an attorney with the law firm of Elam & Burke, P.O. Box 1539, Boise, Idaho. 83701. Elam & Burke represents the interests of Treasure Valley Investments, who owns several of the parcels under consideration for the Ten Mile Road Urban Renewal Plan. We have worked with your MDC folks and with Phil and we are here in support of the plan and urge you to adopt the plan as

presented to you today. We did submit a letter to the -- to the city that we hope is part of the public record. I would be happy to stand for any questions. But, again, we support the plan and hope that you adopt it as is. Thank you.

De Weerd: Thank you. This is a public hearing. Is there anyone who would like to provide testimony that did not sign on the sheet? Good afternoon.

Turnbull: Madam Mayor, Members of the Council, David Turnbull, 12601 West Explorer Drive in Boise. Bruce, could you pull that up? I just wanted to just give a little bit of a background. We were approached over a year ago by the city wondering what -- wondering if an urban renewal to the mechanism would help stimulate the growth and enhance the quality of the type of development we could do in this Ten Mile Area Specific Plan and that was something that really was meaningful to us, because as you may know, we have owned this property for ten years, we have been struggling with that, what do we do, you know, what's going to make sense, how can we developed this most effectively and there are a number of challenges in that area. The graphs you see here on your site represents three different property owners. Overlaying that is a road of connective -- a network of connective roads that on its own as individual development entities or property owners is virtually -- or I wouldn't say impossible, just very difficult to make this happen and that overlay plan is the key to making this whole area become active and come alive and the urban renewal tool is about the only tool that we have in this county that can make that happen. So, where we invest those dollars, but, then, we are able to -- be able to get a return on that, so that's probably the biggest key. And, then, as we went through this discussion over the last years and we got excited about the idea that we can actually get in there and afford to make some of these investments, what should the nature of that be, because we have looked at everything from a retail center on our properties to employment centers and, you know, the easy route would have been just to deal with some of the big box retailers and get in and get out and -- but that's never been I think the vision for the city and it's never been the one that we favor doing, so as we had this tool being proposed by the city and it went through all of the processes of the MDC hearings and City Council hearings and anticipating this was going to come to pass, we went to work and we have been working diligently on this for the last year, probably most heavily in the last six months, to come up with a first class employment center on this property that fronts on the interchange, which is I think one of the visions that the city expressed to us from the very beginning going back to 2007. So, if you would go to the next slide, Bruce. This is some of the working concepts that we have for the project now. Our -- I don't know how to point with this, Bruce. So, that -- if you just take your cursor down a little lower. Up until about two months ago I was anticipating -- our company is kind of bursting at the seams in our current office space now and we were looking at doing a major remodel on our existing building, but I got excited about this project and figured we needed to be here on site. So, we have designed this four story office building that would be located right on the freeway off-ramp there. We think it would be a highly visible, highly

desirable project. So, this is the kind of thing that we are able to do now. The cheapest route for us to do is, obviously, to just do a remodel in an existing building, but if you would go to the next couple of slides it will give you a little more emphasis on the character and these are just early schematics, but we are hoping to be under construction on this headquarters building for us sometime in August and, then, there is one more slide, Bruce, if you could advance to that. That's just a different view of it. And if we could -- yeah. This just gives you a little bit more of a character of what we are planning for that area. Typically when -- well, all of the developments that you see along Ten Mile Creek or some of the Eight Mile creeks, we tend to turn our back to those facilities and we tend to just close them off, fence them off and this is something we have been talking to you about for a long time, how can we improve and enhance that corridor, so just as late as last week I have expressed this to a couple of you, we have been meeting with a restaurateur of -- a well-known restaurateur here in town. They were planning on putting a restaurant on that creek, having patio dining and kind of activating that whole area as well. So, these are the kind of things that we are going to be able to do to step up the quality of the types of developments we are going to be able to do, because of this URD being in place. So, you know, the risk is on us. We are the ones that are proposing to make these investments. You know, we are excited to do that. We are willing to do that, but this is a tool that's really imperative to make this all happen and make this work. So, with that I guess our goal, too, in answer to some questions I have heard is we want to get in and get out of this as soon as possible, we want to create the tax base that can pay off the investment as quickly as possible and start creating jobs for this community and tax revenue for this community that will enhance the overall fabric of the City of Meridian. So, with that I will stand for any questions. Thank you.

De Weerd: Thank you. Council, any questions? Okay.

Turnbull: Thanks.

De Weerd: Any further testimony? Okay.

Palmer: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Palmer.

Palmer: I have just a few questions, then. Probably for Phil. I'm not sure who might want to tackle them. I had met with Mr. Turnbull and we discussed a lot about the project as anyone involved in it's probably very aware, I have been adamantly opposed to it since first hearing about it. But after meeting with Mr. Turnbull he answered a lot of the questions that were a lot of I don't know or we don't know untils and we can't figure it out until we pass it, Pelosi kind of things, that were kind of driving me crazy, but Mr. Turnbull was able to answer a lot of those questions, made me feel a lot better about it, assuming we are able to put

a couple of side bars that are my major concerns into -- into the ordinance before we approve it or into the contract later, however it would need to be, as long as they are assured that it will take place, one of them being, you know, the sunset, you know, it's really easy in the sales pitch to say we want to get in, get it down and get out, but I have no concerns about the property owners wanting that. My concern is us as government want to find a reason to keep whatever we might additionally employ for it in the job or any kind of a reason under the sun to keep an urban renewal district in existence and so I want to ensure that there is some way that when the second to the last dollar is paid back for the reimbursement of the infrastructure that the urban renewal district ceases to exist, that there is no way for us to continue it without recreating it. Is that built into the ordinance or how is that handled?

Kushlan: Council Members, Council Member Palmer, the statute by definition creates that 20-year maximum life and so the study that we have done basically ran it out to its full term, assuming some fairly conservative estimates in terms of revenue production and cost. We believe that this is going to produce revenues in excess of what we talked about, so that will move that closure date forward. I think they -- the date that we have showed in the plan as it's written out is 16 years and I think that is still long. So, I think just the operation of it will shorten it up. The -- I assume that you could create a sunset in the adoption of the plan that is less than 20 years, if you choose to. That's a discretionary issue on the part of the City Council -- again, I'm giving legal advice without benefit of a bar license, but people know that I do that a lot anyway. But you can, in fact, limit this to something other than ten years. The risk associated with that, though, is if for some reason -- if there is another economic downturn or a recession, then, that limits the amount of money that can be available to reimburse the people who have actually made the investment. So, as a practical matter, given the way this thing is envisioned, the infrastructure will be fully improved through the plan that's there. We don't envision anything else to be required for investment out there, so we are not seeing anything else that can be provided, you can't take resources from the district here and spend it anywhere else. It would have to be spent here and the plan as we have put it together is specific -- fairly specific in terms of the infrastructure that is defined. So, if you were to do something else, then, that would have to come back to the City Council, the MDC for a formal plan amendment to do X, Y or Z. Can that be precluded from a future council doing that? Under the current arrangement the answer is no. Even if you put a ten year or a 15 year or a 16 year maximum life on it, this one could be -- in fact, it's -- the timing of what we are doing could be extended out to the 20 years. So, I'm not sure there is a way to put an iron clad box around this for a short period of time that I think they are looking for. Clearly you have the elected representatives of people here who are going to make those decisions out in the future, but generally, as a practical matter, there is really not much else to do out there once this level of work has been done. So, I wish I could give you a better, more satisfactory answer, but I think that's the real one.

De Weerd: But, Phil, is the plan specific enough that it limits what the reimbursables are that can help narrow and focus and keep that time frame short in terms of the qualifying projects and the public infrastructure piece to it?

Kushlan: Your Honor, yes, the specific list of projects that we have listed here in the colored map are the things that the -- the city and the MDC are committing to build or reimburse the -- the developers for building and that's it. Anything beyond that would take a formal plan amendment.

Palmer: Madam Mayor, follow up?

De Weerd: Uh-huh.

Palmer: And so I wasn't I guess concerned so much about how long it takes to understand that's going to, you know, one year we may be at a pace that, you know, it's going to have no time there, whereas the next year things may slow down and that can adjust, I understand. What I'm more concerned about is that there is an amount that's, you know, calculated, decided, whatnot that once that's paid we find no other reason for the -- that the government finds no other reason for the district to continue to exist. It's purpose in the beginning was to allow the developer to build all the infrastructure at his cost and, then, we reimburse them for it and, then, it's done. There is not another reason we are like, okay, well, now that we are 16 years down the road we want to start replacing some of that, so we are going to keep the district alive to start replacing here, you know, the different pieces of infrastructure I assume would be turned over to the different entities that usually take them over to maintain them and, then, they will maintain them at their regular schedule, not the district replacement -- replacing or maintaining and finding a reason to continue to exist.

Kushlan: Your Honor, if I may. The plan calls for once the infrastructure is installed it would be deeded over, if you will, to the -- the operating entity. The streets would go to the highway district, the water system would come to the city, the sewer system would come to the city. So, those would move off to the entity, so the urban renewal agency wouldn't have any ongoing maintenance obligation there, because they wouldn't have anything to maintain.

Palmer: Okay. Perfect. Follow up with some other questions?

De Weerd: Uh-huh.

Palmer: And another thing that Mr. Turnbull and I had discussed is we had just gone through our budget workshops and in an attempt not to have to increase everyone's taxes there are some public safety items that have been left off of the budget that may -- off the -- I guess the draft budget, you know, we are working on, hopefully being able to find a way to put it back in and this is a large piece of property it appears will have a lot of construction going on it simultaneously and

so I assume there would be people that will enter the property that shouldn't be there and maybe vandalize -- do any number of things that they shouldn't be doing and will require emergency services, police namely, to -- to be there to patrol it on occasion, to deal with people that are there that shouldn't be there, while not reimbursing it for -- you know, you mentioned 16 -- however many years it may take and so one thing that we discussed that -- that I would like to see is that portion of -- of whatever funds come in for the property taxes that would then be reimbursed -- actually be held to help offset the cost of the emergency services that will be provided to the property. I don't know if that's something that had been discussed. I had e-mailed the Mayor and --

Kushlan: Your Honor, Councilman Palmer, I was asked to look at that issue and this isn't the first time this has come up over the years in a variety of the communities. Essentially, the urban renewal law and the Economic Development Act is a vehicle for capital finance. It's not a vehicle for operational subsidy. I think as a practical matter, again, if we looked at various ways to access those revenue allocation money for operational purposes you would, then, I think get the opportunity to discuss that issue with the highway district and the library district and the county, who are also foregoing revenue as an investment over a period of time and basically getting your urban renewal dividend, if you will, at the end of the project. It may be possible to fund capital items associated with police or fire. Again, my experience in discussing these issues with the legislature, they start thinking that it is a version of the -- the three percent cap and they look scant at that. I think possibly you could legally do that, but, again, from my position that's an issue that opens a whole Pandora's Box that could be a problem for yourselves and your folks, your people at the AIC who have to deal with that in the legislature. But that's just my opinion.

Palmer: Madam Mayor, another question.

De Weerd: Uh-huh.

Palmer: What -- do we know what our costs would be to administer the -- the district and where do those funds come from?

Kushlan: Your Honor, Councilman, in the cash flow analysis that you will see attached to the plan we assumed a reservation in the cash flow of ten percent of the revenue allocation proceeds per year up to a maximum cap of 50,000 dollars a year. That basically would fund the record keeping, the -- whoever manages this, because once you get the process done, this is, basically, a financing tool, so you're not going to have ongoing operational obligations associated with the district, just basically the servicing of the owner participation agreement in making sure that the resources are receipted and paid over to the recipient through the OPA, so you got some legal expenses, you have some reporting requirements of -- required by law and so forth. So, the active administration of this is fairly limited. I did provide a memorandum that was requested of what the

management options might be available to the MDC. They have not made any decision about that, but, again, the -- the obligations associated with the maintenance of this is fairly -- fairly limited over the long haul because of the way that we have got it structured.

Palmer: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Uh-huh.

Palmer: So, we are not anticipating -- if we anticipate I guess any increase in -- in cost to staff, you know, and create -- in running a district -- or we are not anticipating any or --

Kushlan: Your Honor, Councilman Palmer, no, again, we have reserved some resources at the discretion of MDC to -- to operate themselves, but, then, the operating assumption was a maximum of 50,000 dollars fixed over the life of the district.

Palmer: Okay. Thanks.

De Weerd: Thank you. Other questions? Thank you, Phil.

Kushlan: Thank you.

De Weerd: Okay. Any other comments? Mr. Cavener, do you have any questions?

Cavener: Sorry. I was muted. No. My questions have been answered. Thank you.

De Weerd: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Council, this is the second reading. The third reading would be next week. We can keep this open -- public hearing open until then or you can choose to close it, whichever you would prefer.

Palmer: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Palmer.

Palmer: I think it's important that we have an additional public hearing at our normal evening council meeting next week or whenever, you know, the Council determines would be a good time to do it. To give an opportunity for people that are working that would have an interest in -- in testifying an opportunity to show up and share what they have to say and, then, also to be able to have all the Council present as we vote on it.

De Weerd: Okay.

Bird: Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Bird.

Bird: I think that's a very, very good idea to continue it on. If Councilwoman Roberts and Councilman Cavener agree, I would make a motion that we continue this public hearing until June 21st, 2016.

Palmer: Second.

De Weerd: Okay. I have a motion and a second to continue this public hearing until the 22nd. All those in favor say aye. All ayes. Motion carried.

MOTION CARRIED: FOUR AYES. TWO ABSENT.

De Weerd: Well, thank you all for being here this afternoon. Almost said evening. And the third reading will be next week, the continued public hearing, and we appreciate you joining us.

Item 7: Ordinances

- B. Ordinance No. _____: An Ordinance (H-2016-0019 – Whiteacre Subdivision) For Annexation And Rezone Of A Parcel Of Land Located In The SE ¼ Of The NE ¼ Of Section 36, Township 4 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian; Establishing And Determining The Land Use Zoning Classification Of Said Lands From Rut To R-8 (Medium Density Residential) District In The Meridian City Code**

De Weerd: Item 7-B is Ordinance 16-1696. Madam Clerk, will you, please, read this ordinance by title only.

Jones: Thank you, Madam Mayor. An Ordinance H-2016-0019, Whiteacre Subdivision for annexation and rezone of a parcel of land located in the SE ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 36, Township 4 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian; Ada County, Idaho, as described in Attachment A and annexing certain land and territories situated in Ada County, Idaho, and adjacent and contiguous to the corporate limits of the City of Meridian as requested by the City of Meridian, establishing and determining the land use zoning classification of said lands from RUT to R-8, Medium Density Residential District in the Meridian City Code, providing that copies of this ordinance shall be filed with the Ada County assessor, the Ada County recorder, and the Idaho State Tax Commission as requested by law and providing for a summary of the ordinance and providing for a waiver of the reading rules and providing an effective date.

De Weerd: Thank you. You have heard this ordinance read by title only. Is there anyone who would like to hear it read in its entirety? I'm sure it will be riveting.

Bird: Seeing none -- Madam Mayor?

De Weerd: Mr. Bird.

Bird: I move we approve Ordinance No. 16-1696, with suspension of rules.

Little Roberts: Second.

De Weerd: I have a motion and a second to approve Item 7-B. If there is no discussion, Madam Clerk, will you call roll.

Roll Call: Bird, yea; Borton, absent; Milam, absent; Cavener, yea; Palmer, yea; Little Roberts, yea.

De Weerd: All ayes. Motion carried.

MOTION CARRIED: FOUR AYES. TWO ABSENT.

Item 8: Future Meeting Topics

De Weerd: Item 8 is asking if there is any future meeting topics for future meeting agendas?

Bird: I have none.

De Weerd: Hearing none, I would entertain a motion to adjourn.

Bird: So moved.

Little Roberts: Second.

De Weerd: I have a motion and a second adjourn. All those in favor say aye. All ayes.

MOTION CARRIED: FOUR AYES. TWO ABSENT.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:36 P.M.

(AUDIO RECORDING ON FILE OF THESE PROCEEDINGS)


MAYOR TAMMY DE WEERD

6 / 21 / 16
DATE APPROVED

ATTEST:


JACY JONES, CITY CLERK

